@))

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1551 of 1995

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

PRAVINCHANDRA MADHAVJI SHAH

Versus

MUKLALAXMI TRIBHOVANDAS PANDYA

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 1551 of 1995

MR SURESH M SHAH for Petitioner No. 1

MR JR NANAVATI for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 21/01/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

This is a revision application under Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that this revision has become infructuous as respective parties have withdrawn their

respective shares and settled the dispute out of the court. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the parties are satisfied by the afoersaid withdrawal.

In that view of the matter, this revision application has become infructuous and the same is disposed of accordingly. Rule is discharged. Interim relief granted earlier stands vacated. No order as to costs.

(P.B. Majmudar, J.)
*mohd